1

18 19

16

17

20 21

22

23 24

25

27

26

28

99 APR -2 PM 1: 34 LEGAL PROCESS #8

Steven M. Heller, State Bar No. 079067 Paige M. Hibbert, State Bar No. 143105

Attornevs for Plaintiff

Facsimile: (916) 853-3010

HACKARD, HOLT & HELLER

Gold River, California 95670 Telephone: (916) 853-3000

MJB BIXBY CONSTRUCTION, INC.

11335 Gold Express Drive, Suite 155

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO**

MJB BIXBY CONSTRUCTION, INC.,

Plaintiff.

Vs.

SONNY LOSOYA, ROBERT RAMIREZ, YOLANDA DOTSON and DOES 1 to 100, insclusive.

Defendants.

Case No. 99 A M 02 6 3 0

**COMPLAINT TO FORECLOSE** MECHANIC'S LIEN: BREACH OF CONTRACT

(Limited Civil Case – Over \$10,000)

Plaintiff, MJB BIXBY CONSTRUCTION, INC., alleges as follows:

# ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- Plaintiff, MJB BIXBY CONSTRUCTION, INC. (hereinafter Plaintiff), is at all 1. times herein mentioned, a corporation with its principal place of business in Sacramento County, California.
- Plaintiff is a duly licensed corporation by the State of California and has held the 2. appropriate licenses to perform the work as described in this Complaint.
- SONNY LOSOYA is an individual and based upon information and belief, is a 3. resident of Sacramento County, California.

- 4. ROBERT RAMIREZ is an individual and based upon information and belief, is a resident of Sacramento County, California.
- 5. YOLANDA DOTSON is an individual and based upon information and belief, resides outside of Sacramento County, California.
- 6. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate or otherwise, of the Defendants, and each of them, sued herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and therefore sue said Defendants, and each of them, under such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the fictitiously-named Defendants' true names and capacities when such true names and capacities have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the fictitiously-named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings alleged herein, and Plaintiff's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by said Defendants' wrongful conduct. All references in this Complaint to "Defendants" or any of them, shall include said fictitiously-named Defendants, unless specifically stated to the contrary.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that at all times herein mentioned, Defendants, and each of them, were the agents, servants, employees, principals or assignees of the remaining Defendants, and acted within the purpose, course and scope of such agency, service or employment, and with the permission and consent of each of the remaining defendants.

II.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Breach of Contract)

- 8. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein as though set forth in full, each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 7, inclusive, and further alleges.
- 9. On or about September 15, 1998, Plaintiff and each Defendant entered into a written agreement in which Plaintiff agreed to perform a proposal and contract for home improvements. Plaintiff agreed to furnish labor, services, equipment and materials for a work of improvement on real property commonly known as 4109 32<sup>nd</sup> Street, Sacramento,

California 95825. The contractual amount was for \$16,840.00, which Defendants agreed to pay Plaintiff. The whole of the real property and entire estate of Defendants in the real property are required for the convenience use and occupation of the work of improvement.

- 10. Between October of 1998 and December of 1998, at the special insistence and request of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff furnished labor, services, equipment and materials used and intended to be used in a work of improvement at the property located at 4109 32<sup>nd</sup> Street, Sacramento, California 95825.
- 11. Plaintiff has performed all work, services, labor and other things necessary on plaintiff's part to be performed under this contract. The contract is attached as Exhibit "1".
- 12. The value of the labor, services, equipment and materials furnished by Plaintiff was worth at the reasonable and market rate of \$16,840.00, which Defendants, and each of them, agreed in writing to pay.
- 13. Defendants, and each of them, have breached the written agreement in that defendants have never paid plaintiff for the work that was performed at the 4109 32<sup>nd</sup> Street, Sacramento, California 95825 and as such, there is a due, owing and unpaid balance of \$16,840.00, plus interest at the legal rate.

III.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Foreclosure of Mechanic's Lien)

- 14. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporate herein as though set forth in full, each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 13, inclusive, and further alleges:
- 15. Plaintiff recorded a verified claim of lien on December 10, 1998 at the office of the county recorder of Sacramento County after Plaintiff completed his contract work and before the expiration of 90 days after the work of improvement, no notice of completion or cessation having been recorded.
- 16. Further, Plaintiff filed a subsequent lien on March 11, 1999, renewing the notice of claim of lien, due to the fact that no notice of completion or cessation having been recorded.

17. A copy of the original claim of lien is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit "2" and incorporated by reference. At the time that Plaintiff recorded the claim of lien, the amount of \$16,840.00 was due, owing and unpaid. The cost of verifying and recording the lien claim was \$10.00, no part of which has been repaid and the cost of recording Exhibit "3", the second lien claim of March 9, 1998, was also \$10.00.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

- 1. That the sum of \$16,840.00, together with interest provided by law until paid;
- 2. That, further, for the sum of \$20 for verifying and recording the lien and Plaintiff's cost incurred in bringing this action be adjudged and decreed to be a lien on the real property described in this Complaint;
- 3. That the demands of Plaintiff and all persons having claims of lien, or any interest in the real property described in this Complaint, be ascertained and adjudged, and that the interest of Defendants and any persons claiming under them, be sold under the decree of this court to satisfy the amount of the lien ascertained and adjudged in favor of Plaintiff;
  - 4. For reasonable attorneys' fees;
  - 5. For the costs of suit herein incurred; and
  - 6. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.

DATED: April 1, 1999

HACKARD, HOLT & HELLER

Ву:

PAIGE M. HIBBERT Attorney for Plaintiff