JUL 2 1 2015

By: M. CHAPMAN

DEPUTY CLERK

JOHN Z BARR Receiver 883 Meadowridge Dr Fairfield, CA 94534

Telephone: (415) 846-0547

jbarr@staresources.net

5

б

'

0

16

1]

12

14

16

17

18

1920

21 22

E

B

24 25

26 27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

WESTAMERICA BANK,

Plaintiff,

MARK J. BIXBY, individually and as trustee of The MARK J. BIXBY 1996 REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST, and DOES 1 through 50, Inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 34-2015-00175119

RECEIVER'S FIRST INTERIM REPORT

Background

On June 18, 2015 Westamerica Bank (Plaintiff) filed its Ex Parte Order to appoint a Receiver and for Preliminary Injunction vs. Defendants Mark J. Bixby, individually and as trustee of the Mark J. Bixby 1996 Revocable Living Trust. It was ordered that John Z. Barr (the "Receiver") shall be appointed as receiver to take possession, custody and control of the certain real property commonly known as 5852 88th Street, Sacramento, California (APN 062-0080-037), together with all existing or subsequently erected or affixed buildings, improvements and fixtures and easements, rights of way, and appurtenances, and all other rights, rents, royalties and profits relating thereto and certain personal property including, without limitation insurance proceeds, all as more fully set forth

RECEIVER'S FIRST INTERIM REPORT CASE NO. 39-2015-00175119

9

11

13

15

16

18

20

21 22

24

23

25

20

28

in the Deed of Trust and Assignment of Rents entered into between Westamerica and Defendants, and to engage in and take those actions as further described in the Order.

Pursuant to the Order, the Receiver filed his bond with the Court in the amount of \$10,000.

Estate Assets

The assets of the estate consist of a single story light industrial warehouse separated in to separate units for tenancy. The entire building is approximately 90,000 sq ft and has 8 occupied tenants consisting of approximately 76,800 sq ft. There are no loading docks and many of the units do have restroom facilities. There, reportedly, was considerable fire damage to several units in the building.

Status of Assets on Takeover

Upon entry of the Order, the Receiver contacted Plaintiff's counsel to gather all Information necessary to harbor and manage the Receivership Estate ("Estate"). All parties were Requested to provide information necessary to properly administer the Estate as set forth in the Order, Leases were obtained; however, keys were not nor any other information regarding arrangements with tenants or utility companies or maintenance. Immediate action was taken to inspect the premises and assets. On June 25, 2015, the Receiver contracted with an inspector and contractor to deliver letters to each tenant informing them of the changes and that the Receiver was responsible for collecting the rents and handling all affairs. On that day, the building was inspected initially as to the fire burned area of the tenant space at Unit 750. The space is currently stripped to the wood studs at the west wall and to the metal studs on both sides on the demising wall to the north. There are no interior offices, finishes or floor finishes in place. There is extensive smoke damage and soot at the ceiling and walls. There are two mobile offices and a trailer stored in the units. Obvious damage to the sprinklers and electrical services were noted. There was a wood patch of roughly 100 square feet at the roof structure where the fire department reportedly accessed the building for fire control. At the roof there was a roof patch over the roof area.

1	The inspector was unable to access the tenant spaces adjacent to the fire damaged Unit. The receive
2	The inspector was unable to access the tenant spaces adjacent to the fire damaged Unit. The receive received plans from a drafting company for the fire damage repairs and provided to the
3	inspector/contractor.
4	inspector/contractor. A significant cost item in the contract/insurance estimate is the replacement of a glue-laminated beam that was reportedly damaged by the fire department during the fire. There does appear to be a
5	beam that was reportedly damaged by the fire department during the fire. There does appear to be a
G	vertical significant cut in the beam which replacement of was included in the provided bid.
7	Repairs to the electrical and fire sprinkler services will be required due to the damage. Replacement
8	of fire barrier drywall walls will be also required.
9	Inspection of one common area bathroom was made which was not secured and quite dirty. The
10	inspector/contractor ordered a locksmith to change all the locks at the building.
1 [Many complaints from the tenants regarding infestation of bugs and other critters were reported to
12	Many complaints from the tenants regarding infestation of bugs and other critters were reported to the inspector.
13	The inspector/contractor, Cardinal Consulting, met with representatives of DH- Hinwiddie-Hines
14	The inspector/contractor, Cardinal Consulting, met with representatives of DH- Hinwiddie-Hines Construction, Inc. (DH) at the site to review the contract they provided for the repairs. Cardinal Consulting will be reviewing the estimate and providing their own estimate for repairing
15	Cardinal Consulting will be reviewing the estimate and providing their own estimate for repairing
16	the damage which is believed to be, at this time, less than this estimate and possibly significantly.
17	However, that remains to be determined after a complete investigation of the entire property is
18	completed as there are other significant issues regarding lack of power and other possible issues.
9	
20	Cardinal Consulting met with some of the other tenants; notices were placed upon the doors on the
21	tenants not met in person confirming the receivership and instructions on where to send the rent
22	checks to in the suture.
23	Allegedly, the Defendant had attempted to arrange prepayment of rents with some tenants prior to
24	the July 1, 2015 rent and in certain cases up to 6 months in advance.
25	checks to in the future. Allegedly, the Defendant had attempted to arrange prepayment of rents with some tenants prior to the July 1, 2015 rent and in certain cases up to 6 months in advance. At this time, it appears that there are 8 tenants occupying the building and best efforts will be made to obtain the July 1 st and future month payments.
26	to obtain the July 1 st and future month payments.
7	

E	•
	Due to lack of power, two tenants refuse to pay the rent. Efforts will be made as part of the repairs
2	needed to restore power to those tenants. According to them, Bixby had promised them that he
3	would restore power over a month ago but had failed to do so. The Defendant has various construction equipment, a trailer and two construction mobile offices at
4	The Defendant has various construction equipment, a trailer and two construction mobile offices at
5	the site and arrangements have been made with his counsel to meet with him and have the equipment
6	the site and arrangements have been made with his counsel to meet with him and have the equipment removed from the site.
7	Work in Progress:
8	Additional trips to the site have been made on the following dates:
9	June 29, 2015
10	The building was walked to determine the scope of the project, current project status and discussions
11	were made with some of the tenants.
12	We met with the San Francisco Running Association who was in the process of vacating the
13	We met with the San Francisco Running Association who was in the process of vacating the
15	another facility, Eli, a representative of the Association indicated that they had legal claims pending
16	building. They indicated that due to unfulfilled promises from Mr. Bixby, they were relocating to another facility, Eli, a representative of the Association indicated that they had legal claims pending against Mr. Bixby due to the occupancy, but did not want to discuss in person. We requested that
17	they contact us regarding this pending claim but have not received any notice.
18	
	The exterior of the building was confirmed to be in fair condition. The roof was walked and
	appeared to be in generally serviceable condition. There was a patch over the fire damaged roof
	area, so there were no immediate concerns.
22	
23	The fire damaged suite, #750 was accessed and an original assessment of the damage was made.
24	There structure was damaged and repairs will be required, but the building did not appear to be of
25	The fire damaged suite, #750 was accessed and an original assessment of the damage was made. There structure was damaged and repairs will be required, but the building did not appear to be of immanent danger of collapse or having further damage. Smoke damage, removal of prior fire barrier
26	systems, a cut beam, damage to the electric and damage to the sprinklers were noted. Repairs are
27	required.

28

]	We met with Custom Fabrication, the Welding company, Herrolds Electric and John Mikelonis at
2	this time.
3	
1	We met with DH Construction at the site to review the scope of work, contract/bid and discuss the
5	history.
6	
7	DH Construction indicated that they had only spent about 20 minutes on site with Mr. Bixby prior to
8	the contract. They indicated that Mr. Bixby had indicated the work scope. They further indicated
	that they figured, and discussed with Mr. Bixby that if they did not have to spend as much on the
	repair work, they could do other improvements at the project such as upgrading electrical and
1	possible tenant improvements. It was our opinion that this contract was made more for the purpose
i	of creating a document that could be provided to the lender rather than an arms length transaction for
	the actual repairs of the fire damaged project.
4	
	Cleaned the bathrooms lightly. Removed spiders and sprayed with pest control to remove concerns
6	of tenants over the large number of spiders and reported frequent visitation of black widow spiders
	in the bathroom. (There was no working lock on the bathroom door and it was open).
8	
9	Posted letters on each of the tenant spaces indicating that the receivership was in place and rents
0	should be directed to the receiver.
1	
2	June 30, 2015
3	Discussions were completed with various tenants including Mikelonis and Spegalia in regards to the
4	project. Discussions with Sacramento County Police regarding the marijuana growing. Notes
5	completed for the project to date.
	July 2, 2015
7	July 2, 2015

ę.
Visited the site for purposes of collection of rents. A meeting was scheduled with Mr. Bixby to
arrange for his removal of all his equipment and vehicles. According to his attorney, Charles
Tweedy, he was to meet at the site this morning and failed to do so and repeated attempts have been
made without success.
made without success. July 3, 2015 Met at the site with a locksmith to change locks to secure the building. Talked with tenants.
Met at the site with a locksmith to change locks to secure the building. Talked with tenants.
Bathroom was secured. Locks were changed on many of the tenant locations.
July 7, 2015 Secondary visit to the site to collect additional due rents. We had a meeting with Mr. Spegalia, who
July 7, 2015
Secondary visit to the site to collect additional due rents. We had a meeting with Mr. Spegalia, who
did not arrive. Met with other tenants to discuss the project.
July 8, 2015 Met with the electrician to review proposal for electric revisions to the property. There is no
July 8, 2015
Met with the electrician to review proposal for electric revisions to the property. There is no
electrical service at the two west spaces. The electric for these spaces was disconnected and added
to Mikelonis' space.
July 9, 2015 Worked with SMUD on electric to avoid a shut off and to determine tenants and electrical services
July 9, 2015
Worked with SMUD on electric to avoid a shut off and to determine tenants and electrical services
in general. Met with Mr. Spegalia and Zac to collect cash rents and provide email confirmations of
rents. Walked tenant spaces at 700 and 1100.
July 14, 2015.
Debris was dumped on the site. Met with a hauler at the site to remove weeds, debris and large tank.
Walked the site.
July 23, 2015

Site was visited to meet with tenants, real estate personnel and walked the site. Met with Mr.

Spagalia to discuss rental status. Walked the tenant spaces that were open. The real estate personnel were requested to provide an overall review of the building, get some thoughts on valuation and recommendations on the final use of the building.

Case Administration

Insurance: The Desendant's insurance has been under management and control of the Plantiff.

Taxing Authorities: The Receiver is in process of informing the IRS of appointment of the Receiver using Form 56.

Defendant's Banks: There are no Bank accounts for solely the property estate that the Receiver has been given access to or informed about.

Receiver Bank Accounts: Receiver has established a Receiver Bank Account with Heritage Bank of Commerce, Danville, CA. There is approximately \$7000 in this account as of this date.

Attorney, Accountant, and Legal Issues

Attorney: Outside legal Counsel, Theodore K. Klaassen, Rutan & Tucker, LLP, Five Palo Alto Square, 3000 El Camino Real, suite 200, Palo Alto, Ca 94306-9814, has been engaged, as permitted in the Order, and has filed all necessary documents with the Court to confirm employment for the Plantiff. No Attorney has been retained by the Receiver at this time.

Accountant: No outside accountant has been retained at this time.

Legal Issues: Uncertain at this time.

Financial Information

Current Balance at Heritage Bank is \$\$15,758.77 which includes partial July rents captured. There are outstanding checks(1) to Cardinal Consulting for \$8,332.45. Rents are to be collected August 1st.

A revised estimate for repairs will be prepared over the next week and submitted to the Bank for approval to begin restoration of the building from the fire damage.

Fees and Expenses of the Receiver and Professionals: Receiver Fees: For the Period June 18, 2015 through August 1, 2015, the Receiver fees arc \$ 6,812.50. Legal Fees: None from Receiver. Conclusion I hereby declare that this report is accurate to the best of my knowledge. Signed August 1, 2015, in Fairfield, CA. 10 11 Receiver 12 13 14 16 18 19 20

28

Bixby Receivership - John Z. Barr, Receiver Timesheet

Date	Client	Work Performed	Time Spent
20-Jun	Westamerica/Bixby	Review Pleadings	1.0hrs
21-Jun	Westamerica/Bixby	Bond Discussion and Application	45 min
24-Jun	Westamerica/Bixby	Phone calls-Cardinal/Review Pleadings	45 min
25-Jun	Westamerica/Bixby	Phone calls-Cardinal-site visit discussion	1.5 hrs
26-Jun	Westamerica/Bixby	Calls with tenants, cardinal, counsel. Review	1.5 hrs
		docs	
30-Jun	Westamerica/Bixby	Smud discussions/legal/tenant	1.25hrs
		discussions/heritage bk	
1-Jul	Westamerica/Bixby	review of leases, conference re: issues at site	1.25hrs
July 2-4	Westamerica/Bixby	Bank account set up and various deposits	1.5hrs
3-Jul	Westamerica/Bixby	Conference with Cardinal re: locks and	.50hrs
		tenants	
6-Jul	Westamerica/Bixby	Follow up Review of inspections/tenant	2.0 hrs
		issues/calls	
8-Jul	Westamerica/Bixby	Review site map/conference calls	1.0hrs
9-Jul	Westamerica/Bixby	Cardinal meeting	1.0hrs
14-Jul	Westamerica/Bixby	Review and discuss repairs	1.5hrs
15-Jul	Westamerica/8ixby	Follow up tenant issues	.50hrs
16-Jul	Westamerica/Bixby	Review invoices/discuss scope and plans	2.0hrs
23-Jul	Westamerica/Bixby	Trip to Sacramento	8 hrs
25-Jul	Westamerica/Bixby	Write up Report	2.0hrs
		TOTAL	27.25hrs
		\$250 * 27.25 hrs = \$6,812.50	
	<u></u>	<u></u>	

PROOF OF SERVICE (Westamerica Bank v. Mark J. Bixby, et al. Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 34-2015-00175119)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am employed by the law office of Rutan & Tucker, LLP in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 6 | 611 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400, Costa Mesa, California 92626-1931.

On July 28, 2015, I served on the interested parties in said action the within:

RECEIVER'S FIRST INTERIM REPORT

as stated below:

Charles A. Tweedy Law Offices of Charles A. Tweedy 11341 Gold Express Drive, Suite 110 Gold River, CA 95670

12

10

(BY MAIL) by placing a true copy thereof in sealed envelope(s) addressed as shown X above.

In the course of my employment with Rutan & Tucker, LLP, I have, through first-hand personal observation, become readily familiar with Rutan & Tucker, LLP's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice, I deposited such envelope(s) in an out-box for collection by other personnel of Rutan & 16 | Tucker, LLP, and for ultimate posting and placement with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. If the customary business practices of Rutan & Tucker, 17 | LLP with regard to collection and processing of correspondence and mailing were followed, and I am confident that they were, such envelope(s) were posted and placed in the United States mail at 18 | Costa Mesa, California, that same date. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

Executed on July 28, 2015, at Costa Mesa, California.

20

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Cecilia Solórzano (Type or print name)

24

28