1	Jeffery B. Yazel, Attorney at Law Jeffery B. Yazel, Esq. (SBN 210057)		FILED	67.6 7.	lifa!
2	8359 Elk Grove-Florin Rd, #103-152 Sacramento, CA 95829		Superior Cor Sacramento	urt tir ta	mornia,
3	Telephone: (916) 681-2700 Facsimile: (916) 681-2700		07/09/2010		
4	Email: <u>iyazel@comcast.net</u>		emuniz By		Panesta.
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, US LOAN AUDITORS, LLC		Case Number	- 1	Deputy
6	US LOAN AUDITORS, LLC		34-2010-	00082	304
7					
8	SUPERIOR COURT FOR T	THE STATE OF CALIF	ORNIA		
9	IN AND FOR THE CO	UNTY OF SACRAMEN	то		
10	UNLIMITED	CIVIL DIVISION	•		
11			,	Departme Assignmei	nts
12	US LOAN AUDITORS, LLC,	CASE NO.		Case Managen Law and Mote Jinors Comproi	on 54
13	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR:	1.	-	
14	v.	1. DEFAMATION 2. TORTIOUS IN		e wate	
15	ROBERT L. JACKSON; and DOES 1-20	BERT L. JACKSON; and DOES 1-20 CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS			
16	inclusive,	PROSPECTIVE		r Milu	
17	Defendants.	ADVANTAGE 4. VIOLATION O			
18		& PROF. CODI 5. TRESPASS/TE	MPORARY	eq.	
19		RESTRAINING 6. IMPLEADER	GORDER		
20					
21		DEMAND FOR	JURY TRIAL	'	
22		UNLIMITED C	IVIL ACTION	r	
23	Plaintiff, US LOAN AUDITORS, LLC, by and through counsel, for its Complaint				
	against Defendants, pleads as follows:				
24	PA	RTIES			
25	1. Plaintiff, US LOAN AUDITORS, LLC (hereinafter "Plaintff" or "USLA") is and at all times relevant herein is a Limited Liability Company, doing business in the State of California, with its principle place of business in the City of Rancho Cordova, CA, County of				
26					
27					
28		1		l	
1	COM	IPLAINT			

Sacramento. Plaintiff is a forensic loan auditing company.

- 2. Defendant, ROBERT L. JACKSON, (hereinafter "Defendant"), is a resident of Auburn, CA, County of Placer.
- 3. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of the Defendants sued herein under the fictitious names Does 1 through 20, inclusive, and Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege such names and capacities as soon as they are ascertained. Each of said fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner for the wrongful acts complained of herein.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on the basis of this information and belief alleges, that at all times mentioned in this complaint, defendants were the agents and employees of their co-defendants, and in doing the things alleged in this complaint were acting within the course and scope of such agency and employment.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Venue is proper in this Judicial District because a substantial part of the events or wrongdoings giving rise to the claims occurred within this Judicial District, the parties and witnesses reside within this Judicial District, the evidence is located within this Judicial District, and the Plaintiff regularly conducts business within this Judicial District.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 6. Plaintiff brings this action for damages and to secure relief against Defendant, who wrongfully and intentionally interfered, and continues to interfere, with Plaintiff's contractual relationship with third-party customers, by falsely representing material facts and circumstances, threatening Plaintiff's employees and threatening Plaintiff's customers.
- 7. Defendant published or caused to be published, and upon information and belief, continues to publish, false statements about Plaintiff in person and on the web-www.complaintsboard.com/panel.php?action=profile&id=484192.
 - 8. Additionally, Defendant engaged in the following torturous, wrongful conduct:

- On Tuesday, June 29, 2010, Defendant entered the premises upon which a. Plaintiff conducts business at 12:00 p.m. and had three signs that read "US Loan Auditors is a scam." Defendant was also honking his car horn and acting irrational. Plaintiff was forced to call the Rancho Cordova Police Department for fear of the safety of its employees. The Police gave Defendant a warning and informed Defendant not to harass Plaintiff. From approximately 4:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. Defendant remained in Plaintiff's parking lot flagging down Plaintiff's customers as they walked into the building. Shane Barker, Co-Owner of USLA, asked Defendant to leave. Defendant threatened Mr. Barker and said that if he didn't get away from his truck that there would be a [expletive] issue. Defendant did not leave, so the police were called again. Once Defendant was notified that the police were contacted again, Defendant exited the premises. The Rancho Cordova Police Department informed Plaintiff that if Defendant came back to notify them.
- b. On Wednesday, June 30, 2010, Defendant came back onto Plaintiff's property at 9:30 a.m., and Plaintiff called the Rancho Cordoval Police Department. The police arrived at approximately 10:00 a.m. On information and belief, Defendant was charged with a "Notice of Trespass Official Notice" and was instructed by the police to not enter onto the Plaintiff's property.
- c. On Thursday, July 1, 2010, Defendant paraded up and down the street near Plaintiff's place of business with larger signs, acting even more aggressive and hostile. Defendant parked in the middle of the street, flagging anybody down that would listen to him. The Rancho Cordova Casino and a number of neighbors called the Rancho Cordova Police Department and Code Enforcement. The police gave Defendant another warning. One of Plaintiff's employee's went to talk to Defendant to see

if the issue could be resolved. Plaintiff's employee told Defendant that his actions were scaring Plaintiff's employees and that his demeanor was aggressive and inappropriate. Defendant told the employee that he "once stalked a probation officer for over 3 year," and that "he was not going to ever give up." Our employee asked Defendant what he meant by "give up" and Defendant said that he was "retired" and "would spend 12 hours a day until he was refunded his money." At that point Jeff Pulvino, Co-Owner of USLA, talked to Defendant about the situation. The conversation lasted for approximately 45 minutes and Mr. Pulvino offered the Defendant free legal support for the whole duration of his cas, to prove Plaintiff's company was not a scam but was actually helping customers. Defendant was given a deadline of July 6, 2010 in which to make a decision to accept the offer of free legal support. Defendant informed Mr. Pulvino that he would "talk to his wife" and let Mr. Pulvino know if Defendant was going to accept Plaintiff's offer.

- Defendant didn't enter onto the premises Friday, July 2, 2010 nor
 Monday July 5, 2010.
- e. On Tuesday, July 6, 2010, Defendant again parked approximately 300 yards adjacent to Plaintiff's property on the street at 9:00 a.m. and begin to harass Plaintiff's employees and customers once more. Defendant flagged down and pulled over new and old customers and talked about how "USLA is a scam and not helping people". Defendant left at 4:00 p.m.
- f. On, Wednesday, July 7, 2010, Defendant again parked approximately 300 yards adjacent to Plaintiff's property on the street at 9:00 a.m. and begin to harass Plaintiff's employees and customers. Defendant flagged down and pulled over new and old customers and talked about how "USLA is a scam and not helping people." Defendant left at 4:00 p.m. Defendant

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. Plaintiff is informed and believes that there exists or existed valid contracts between Plaintiff and customers.
 - 17. Defendant was at all relevant times aware of these contracts.
- 18. Defendant's intentional acts, described above, were designed to induce a breach or disruption of these contractual relationships.
- 19. Plaintiff is informed and believes that in some cases, actual breach or disruption of some of the contractual relationships occurred as a result thereof, resulting in damage to Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE

- 20. Plaintiff incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 21. There existed and exists an economic relationship between Plaintiff and customers, which had and has the probability of bringing future economic benefit to Plaintiff.
- 22. Defendant was aware of this relationship, and in fact based his actions up its existence.
- 23. Defendant committed and continues to commit tortious, intentional, wrongful acts, described above, that were and are designed to disrupt the relationships between Plaintiff and customers, and Defendant knew that his actions were substantially certain to cause interference with those relationships.
- 24. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant's actions caused actual disruption of said relationships, resulting in economic harm to Plaintiff proximately caused by

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Defendant's acts.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA BUS. & PROF. CODE §§ 17200 et seq.

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. By engaging in the above-described practices and actions, Defendant has committed one or more acts of unfair competition within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. As used in this Complaint, and in BPC § 17200, "unfair competition" means (1) an unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice; (2) unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising; and/or (3) an act prohibited by Chapter 1 (commencing with BPC § 17500) of Part 3 of Division 7 of the BPC. This conduct as alleged is actionable pursuant to BPC §§ 17200 and 17203.
- 27. Beginning on June 29, 2010 and continuing to the present, Defendant has engaged in, and continues to engage in, such unfair competition. Defendant's acts and practices are wrongful, arbitrary, without reasonable business justification, without consent, unethical, oppressive, and have caused substantial harm and injury to Plaintiff.
- 28. Defendant's unlawful acts and practices are described herein and include, without limitation, false claims on the web-site, printed signs, and handouts.
- 29. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages in a sum which is as yet unascertained.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

TRESPASS/REQUEST FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

30. Plaintiff incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.

- 31. On or about the above dates mentioned herein, plaintiff was in possession of certain real property situated at 2882 Prospect Park Dr, Suite 350 Rancho Cordova, Sacramento County, California.
- 32. On the above dates mentioned herein, Plaintiff was using the property described herein as its principle place of business.
- 33. On the above dates mentioned herein Defendant, without the consent or authority and against the will of the Plaintiff, entered onto the property described above.
- 34. The effect of Defendant's conduct, as described herein has produced irreparable damage to Plaintiff's business.
- 35. Plaintiff verbally advised Defendant on the above dates mentioned herein, that Defendant was trespassing on Plaintiff's property without any right or authority to do so, and without plaintiff's consent. Plaintiff further demanded that Defendant leave Plaintiff's property immediately and refrain from any further entry on the property.
- 36. On or about the above dates mentioned herein, Defendant again entered Plaintiff's property against Plaintiff's will and without Plaintiff's consent.
- 37. Defendant threatened and asserts that he will continue to trespass, and therefore continue to deprive plaintiff of plaintiff's right to exclusive possession of the property. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on the basis of that information and belief alleges, that unless restrained by this court, Defendant will continue to trespass against plaintiff's property. Such trespassory conduct by Defendant will result in irreparable harm to plaintiff.
- 38. By engaging in the above-described practices and actions, Defendant has committed one or more acts of trespass. "One is subject to liability to another for trespass, irrespective of whether he thereby causes harm to any legally protected interest of the other, if he intentionally (a) enters land in the possession of the other, or causes a thing or a third person to do so, or (b) remains on the land, or (c) fails to remove from the land a thing which he is under a duty to remove." (Rest.2d Torts, § 158.) Thus "[t]here may be trespass by personal intrusion of the wrongdoer or by his or her failure to leave" (5 Witkin, Summary of Cal. Law (10th ed. 2005) Torts, § 693, p. 1018-1019.)

39. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant's actions caused actual disruption of business and economic relationships, resulting in harm to Plaintiff proximately caused by Defendant's acts.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

IMPLEADER

- 40. Plaintiff incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 41. Plaintiff is prepared to deposits with the court the sum of \$3000, the amount paid by Defendant for the forensic loan audit. As Defendant admits, Defendant's lender JPMorgan Chase/WAMU, have falsely and fraudulently handled Defendant's loan transaction, misstating Defendant's income and failing to comply with the law. Plaintiff has done the work and job Plaintiff was contracted for, but in support of Plaintiff's 100% money back guarantee and as a showing of good faith, Plaintiff hereby deposits with the Superior Court trust account for the case, the full sum of the cost of the audit, or \$3000. Should the court determine that Plaintiff did not perform the contracted work to earn the \$3000, the funds will be available for return to Defendant.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL AND PRAYER FOR DAMAGES

Plaintiff, US LOAN AUDITORS, LLC, hereby demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, US LOAN AUDITORS, LLC, prays for Judgment and Order against Defendant, as follows:

- 1. That Judgment be entered for Plaintiff and against Defendant, and each of them;
- 2. For an Order requiring Defendant to show cause, if they have any, why they should not be enjoined as set forth below, during the pendency of the action;
- 3. For a temporary restraining Order, preliminary and permanent injunction preventing Defendant, or anyone acting in concert with them, from any further above referenced

28