SEGAL & KIRBY 2 770 L Street, Suite 1440 Sacramento, CA 95814 COLUMN PURSE NO SENT (916) 441-0828 3 (916) 446-6003 fax I FOAL PROCESS #2 4 Attorneys for Defendant 5 EDWAŘD R. BRENNER LAW OFFICES OF EDWARD R. BRENNER 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO 10 11 MARK BIXBY and MJB/BIXBY CASE NO. 04 AS 02768 CONSTRUCTION, INC., 12 Plaintiffs, ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' 13 UNVERIFIED COMPLAINT VS. 14 EDWARD R. BRENNER and LAW OFFICES OF EDWARD R. BRENNER, 15 and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, 16 Defendants. 17 Defendant, Edward R. Brenner, in answer to the unverified Complaint of 18 plaintiffs herein, denies each and every, all and singular, of the allegations of the 19 Complaint, and in this connection defendant denies that plaintiffs have been injured 20 or damaged in any of the sums mentioned in the Complaint, or in any sum, or at all, 21 as the result of any act or omission of this answering defendant. 22 **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES** 23 AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE 24 COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION 25 CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that the Complaint fails to 26 state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action or claim for relief against this 27 28 answering defendant.

JOHN T. KINN, SBN 130270

1

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that at all times and places mentioned in the Complaint plaintiffs failed to use reasonable diligence to mitigate their alleged damages. Plaintiffs are barred from recovery under the Complaint, because the damages alleged by plaintiffs could have been mitigated by due diligence on their part.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that each of plaintiffs' claims is barred by the applicable statutes of limitations, including without limitation California Code of Civil Procedure sections 340.6, 339 and 338.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs, by their conduct, are barred from recovery in this matter on the grounds of ratification.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs, by their conduct, are barred from recovery in this matter on the grounds of waiver.

21

23

25

26

27

28

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs, by their conduct, are barred from recovery in this matter on the grounds of estoppel.

AS AN FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs, by their conduct, are barred from recovery in this matter on the grounds of unclean hands.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs, by their conduct, are barred from recovery in this matter on the grounds of laches.

COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges on information and belief that the sole and proximate cause of the damages complained of by plaintiffs in their Complaint was due to the act and/or omission of persons and entities other than this answering defendant, and these acts and omissions were the intervening and superceding causes of these damages, if any.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges on information and belief that plaintiffs cannot assert any contractual claims set forth in the Complaint because they prevented performance of and rejected tendered payment under any contracts.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges on information and belief that the causes of action asserted in the Complaint were settled, satisfied.

extinguished and supported by adequate consideration, and an accord of all claims reached, and the prior settlements, satisfactions, and extinguishments operate to bar the claims in this lawsuit.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that there was a failure of

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs were not parties to various alleged underlying actions and/or contracts and lack standing to sue under the Complaint.

consideration for any alleged agreement plaintiffs seek to enforce, caused by

plaintiffs, and that the failure of consideration bars plaintiffs' rights to relief.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs failed to perform certain conditions precedent to any duty of defendant. These conditions precedent were imposed upon plaintiffs by their alleged contracts. Plaintiffs' non-performance of conditions excused defendant's obligations and has given defendant the right of offset, disaffirmance, rescission and release. Plaintiffs are therefore barred from recovery herein.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that one plaintiff is not a licensed contractor and another plaintiff has failed to comply with California's Contractors License Law, including without limitation California Civil Code Business & Professions Code section 7159, and plaintiffs may not therefore maintain the contract claims set forth in the Complaint.

1	AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE
2	COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION
3	CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges on information and belief
4	that plaintiffs are barred from recovery in this case by the doctrines of res judicata or
5	collateral estoppel.
6	AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE
7	COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION
8	CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that he is entitled to offset
9	plaintiffs' receipts and judgments, as well as the reasonable value of fees and costs
10	incurred by this answering defendant, arising from the underlying representations.
11	AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST
12	AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED
13	CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that
14	the Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, fails to state a
15	cause or causes of action for attorney's fees against this answering defendant.
16	AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST
17	AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED
18	CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that
19	any alleged representations made by defendant which form the basis of the
20	Complaint were true and honest at the time made, were made without knowledge of
21	any falsity, and were not made with the intent to deceive plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are
22	therefore barred from recovering punitive damages under the Complaint.
23	AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE FIRST
24	AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED
25	CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that
26	the Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, fails to state a
27	cause or causes of action for punitive damages against this answering defendant
28	under California Civil Code sections 3294 and 3295.
	5

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that in the matters complained of in the Complaint, plaintiffs were and are equally at fault and culpable for any loss or damage alleged in the Complaint. AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE

COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant alleges that the causes of action asserted in the Complaint are barred by the Civil Code of the State of California, including without limitation Sections 1473, 1474, 1475, 1476, 1477.

AS A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE TO THE COMPLAINT ON FILE HEREIN, AND TO EACH ALLEGED CAUSE OF ACTION CONTAINED THEREIN, this answering defendant has insufficient knowledge or information on which to form a belief as to additional, as yet unstated, affirmative defenses available and reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses.

WHEREFORE, this answering defendant prays that plaintiffs take nothing by their complaint; for costs of suit incurred herein; and for such other and further relief as pleaded by this answering defendant and as the Court deems just and proper.

SEGAL & KIRBY

Dated: January 28, 2005

Attornevs for Defendant

LAW OFFICES OF EDWARD R. BRENNER

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28