EDWARD R. BRENNER, CSBN 91315 LAW OFFICES OF EDWARD R. BRENNER CALIFORNIA PLAZA 2180 Harvard Street, Suite 560 3 Sacramento, California 95815 TEL (916) 925-3113 FAX (916) 921-0247 5 Attorney for Plaintiff and Cross-defendant-6 MARK J. BIXBY 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO 9 10 11 MJB/BIXBY CONSTRUCTION, INC., 12 Plaintiff. 13 14 DAVID AND LYNN ERNCE, Defendant(s). 16 **DAVID E. ERNCE and LYNN TRINKA** 17 ERNCE, 18 Cross-complainant(s), v. 19 MJB/BIXBY CONSTRUCTION, INC., a 20 Corporation, and MARK J. BIXBY, Individually, and dba: BIXBY 21 CONSTRUCTION, 22 Cross-defendant(s). 23

/////

/////

/////

24

25

26



---0Oo---

**CASE NO. 01 AS 07168** 

GENERAL DENIAL OF MJB/BIXBY CONSTRUCTION, INC. AND MARK J. **BIXBY, DBA: BIXBY CONSTRUCTION** 

第48 60 EB \$5 67 655

7

8

6

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

19

18

20

21 22

23

/////

11111

24

///// 25

26

Plaintiff(s) and Cross-defendant(s), MJB/BIXBY CONSTRUCTION, INC., a Corporation, and MARK J. BIXBY, Individually, and dba: BIXBY CONSTRUCTION (hereinafter collectively referred to as "BIXBY"), hereby answer Defendant(s) and Crosscomplainant(s), DAVID and LYNN ERNCE's Cross-complaint for Breach of Contract: Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; Intentional Misrepresentation; Negligence: Trespass; and Violation of Business and Professions Code Section 17200 as follows:

#### **GENERAL DENIAL**

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30(d). Plaintiff and Cross-Defendants, MJB/BIXBY CONSTRUCTION, INC., a Corporation, and MARK J. BIXBY, Individually, and dba: BIXBY CONSTRUCTION generally deny each and every allegation of said Cross-complaint, the whole thereof, including each and every alleged cause of action contained therein, and further denies that Defendants and Cross-complainants are entitled to the relief requested or any relief at all, that Defendants and Cross-complainants sustained or will sustain damages in the sum or sums alleged, in any other sum, or at all, or are entitled to attorneys' fees in the sum or sums alleged, or any other sums at all.

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Failure to State a Cause of Action)

1. As and for a first, separate and affirmative defense to the Cross-complaint, and to each and every cause of action contained therein, Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants allege that Defendants and Cross-complainants Cross-complaint, and each of the purported causes of action contained therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause or causes of action against Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants.

# SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Failure to Mitigate)

2. As and for a further affirmative defense to the Cross-complaint, and to each and every cause of action contained therein, Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants allege that Defendants and Cross-complainants failed to mitigate the alleged damages, if any, which it claims to have sustained and recovery should be barred or diminished accordingly.

# THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Statute of Limitations)

3. As and for a further affirmative defense to the Cross-complaint, and to each and every cause of action contained therein, Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants allege that the Cross-complaint is barred by the statute of limitations set forth in California Code of Civil Procedure sections 335 through 349.4, specifically, but not limited to sections 337, 337.15, 339 and 340.

# FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Unclean Hands)

4. As and for a further affirmative defense to the Cross-complaint, and to each and every cause of action contained therein, Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants allege that Defendants and Cross-complainants are barred from seeking equitable relief by the doctrine of unclean hands.

# FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

### (Waiver)

5. As and for a further affirmative defense to the Cross-complaint, and to each and every cause of action contained therein, Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants allege that if Defendants and Cross-complainants sustained damages, which these answering Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants expressly deny, then Defendants and Cross-complainants are barred by the doctrine of waiver from recovering those damages from these answering Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants.

25 /////

## SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### (Estoppel)

6. As and for a further affirmative defense to the Cross-complaint, and to each and every cause of action contained therein, Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants allege that if Defendants and Cross-complainants sustained damages, which Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants expressly deny, then Defendants and Cross-complainants are barred by the doctrine of estoppel from recovering those damages from Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants.

#### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Failure/Lack of Consideration)

7. As and for a further affirmative defense to the Cross-complaint, and to each and every cause of action contained therein, Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants allege that the Cross-complaint is barred by a failure and/or lack of consideration, and no cause of action is stated.

#### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

### (Failure to Perform Conditions, Covenants and Promises)

8. As and for a further affirmative defense to the Cross-complaint, and to each and every cause of action contained therein, Plaintiff and Cross-defendants allege that Defendants and Cross-complainants have failed to perform all conditions, covenants and promises required by them to be performed.

#### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Statute of Frauds)

9. As and for a further affirmative defense to the Cross-complaint, and to each and every cause of action contained therein, Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants allege that Defendants and Cross-complainants's Cross-complaint and the allegations contained therein are barred by failure to comply with the statute of frauds.

#### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Parole Evidence Rule)

10. As and for a further affirmative defense to the Cross-complaint, and to each and every cause of action contained therein, Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants allege that Defendants and Cross-complainants' Complaint and the allegations contained therein are barred by the Parole Evidence Rule.

## **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

## (Laches)

11. As and for a further affirmative defense to the Cross-complaint, and to each and every cause of action contained therein, Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants allege that Defendants and Cross-complainants and the allegations contained therein are barred by the doctrine of laches.

## TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

### (Failure to Perform)

As and for a further affirmative defense to the Cross-complaint, and to each and every cause of action contained therein, Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants allege that Defendants and Cross-complainants failed to perform and breached agreements and duties entitling Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants to a set-off against any claims by Defendants and Cross-complainants.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Cross-defendants, MJB/BIXBY CONSTRUCTION, INC., a Corporation, and MARK J. BIXBY, Individually, and dba: BIXBY CONSTRUCTION, pray judgment as hereinafter set forth:

A. Defendants and Cross-complainants take nothing by reason of their Cross-complaint;

22 /////

1

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

/////

İ

25 /////

26

For costs of suit incurred herein; and B. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and appropriate. C. Respectfully submitted, **DATED:** July 10, 2002 LAW OFFICES OF EDWARD R. BRENNER By: EDWARD R. BRENNER, Attorney for-MJB/BIXBY CONSTRUCTION, INC., MARK J. BIXBY, and MARK J. BIXBY, dba: **BIXBY CONSTRUCTION** 

1	CASE NAME: <u>MJB/BIXBY CONSTRUCTION, INC. v. ERNCE</u> COURT: SACRAMENTO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
2	COURT: SACRAMENTO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. 01 AS 07168 and Related Cross-action
3	PROOF OF SERVICE
4	The undersigned declares:
5	I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Sacramento. I am over the
6	age of eighteen years and not a party to the within above-entitled action; my business address is California Plaza, 2180 Harvard Street, Suite 560, Sacramento, CA 95815.
7	I am familiar with this office's practice whereby the mail is deposited in a U.S. Mail Box in the City of Sacramento, California after the close of the day's business.
8 9	On July 11, 2002, I served the within:
10	GENERAL DENIAL OF MJB/BIXBY CONSTRUCTION, INC. AND MARK J. BIXBY, DBA: BIXBY CONSTRUCTION
11	By transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.
12 13	By placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Sacramento, California addressed as set forth below.
14	By causing personal delivery by of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
15	
16	By placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to an agent for delivery.
17	By personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
19	
20	to the parties addressed as follows:
21	Norman C. Hile, Esq
22	400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000 Sacramento, CA 95814
23	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Declaration was executed July 11, 2002, at Sacramento, California.
24	William Section was executed star VI, 2002, at Sacramento, California.
25	JAMIE R. GUIDI, Assistant to: EDWARD R. BRENNER
26	