weintraub genshlea chediak Law corporation

{1146275 DOC,}

- Defendant US LOAN AUDITORS, LLC, is a California limited liability company, with its principal place of business in Sacramento, California Plaintiff is informed and believes that US LOAN AUDITORS, LLC, is an advertising and referral vehicle for Defendant US LEGAL ADVISORS, LLC, and that the two Defendants share promoters and an office
- 3 Defendant US LEGAL ADVISORS, LLC, is a California limited liability company, with its principal place of business in Sacramento, California.
- 4 Plaintiff has not been able to ascertain the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as DOES I through X, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names Plaintiff is informed and believes that DOES I through X, inclusive, include the officers and directors of the two corporate Defendants. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all relevant times herein, each of the Defendants was and is the alter-ego, agent, servant, employee, co-conspirator, or aider and abettor of the other Defendants, and acting within the purpose and scope of that relationship with the express or implied knowledge, permission and consent of the other Defendants, and that each of them ratified and approved the acts of the other Defendants

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- In or about August 2009, Defendants sent or caused to be sent to a substantial number of customers of DHI a mailing misleadingly titled "Lender Investigation Notification" (the "Mailing"), a true and correct copy of an example of which (with personal information redacted) is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 7 The Mailing to each recipient was customized with a purported "ID Number" and the property address, county, and total loan amount, which upon information and belief were copied from government records.
- 8 The Mailing was designed to appear as if it came from a government agency, with a supposed form number ("Form 008-1"), a layout and font similar to that used by federal agencies including the Internal Revenue Service and the Department of Housing and Urban

}

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Development, and information gleaned from government records such as the identity of a consumer's lender and the total loan amount.

- The Mailing listed "US Loan Auditors" as "Investigator," implying that a competent authority had appointed it as such, and omitting Defendant US Loan Auditors LLC's corporate designation, to further mislead the recipients into believing that the notice was sent by a government entity Defendants' very names (US Loan Auditors and US Legal Advisors) are by their nature misleading, and their use in connection with this ruse was and is, upon information and belief, designed to mislead recipients of the Mailing and lend credibility to the defamatory statements therein.
- 10 The Mailing falsely and misleadingly states that "Dhi Mtg is currently under investigation for predatory lending "
- 11 The Mailing falsely and misleadingly implies that Defendant US Loan Auditors is or was conducting an official investigation of DHI and that DHI engaged in predatory lending
- 12 The Mailing, combined with Defendants' television advertisements, some of which are available on corporate Defendants' websites (http://www.usloanauditors.com/and http://www.uslegaladvisors.com/), falsely communicate that certain of DHI's loan products, such as adjustable rate mortgages, are by their nature predatory and therefore actionable
- 13 Defendants intended their conduct to cause injury to DHI and carried out their scheme with a willful and conscious disregard of DHI's rights.
- On September 4, 2009, DHI sent a letter, through counsel, to Defendant US Loan Auditors LLC, and to James D Sandison, a principal of both corporate Defendants, demanding that they cease and desist from defaming DHI and requesting a list of DHI's customers to whom the Mailing was sent. As of this date, DHI has not received a response.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (against all Defendants)

- 15 Plaintiff realleges and fully incorporates by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 14 of this Complaint
 - 16 All Defendants, and each of them, published or caused to published, and upon

19

24

23

25

26 27

28

information and belief, continue to publish or cause to be published, false and unprivileged statements, described above, regarding DHI.

- 17. These statements exposed and continue to expose DHI to hatred, contempt, ridicule, and obloquy, and had and have a tendency to injure DHI in its occupation and business
 - 18 These statements constitute libel on their face.
- 19 Additionally, DHI has suffered special damage in respect to its business as a result thereof, and has expended and will continue to expend money, in an amount to be proven, as a result of this libel

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACT (against all Defendants)

- 20. Plaintiff realleges and fully incorporates by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 19 of this Complaint.
- 21 DHI is informed and believes that there exist or existed valid contracts between DHI and the recipients of the Mailing.
- 22. Defendants were at all relevant times aware of these contracts and in fact based their conduct upon the existence of said contracts
- 23 Defendants' intentional acts, described above, were designed to induce a breach or disruption of these contractual relationships.
- 24 DHI is informed and believes that in some cases, actual breach or disruption of some of the contractual relationships occurred as a result thereof, resulting in damage to DHI.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS ADVANTAGE (against all Defendants)

- 25 Plaintiff realleges and fully incorporates by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 24 of this Complaint
 - 26 There existed and exists an economic relationship between DHI and the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

recipients of the Mailing, which had and has the probability of bringing future economic benefit to DHI

- Defendants were aware of this relationship, and in fact based their actions upon 27. its existence.
- 28. Defendants committed and continue to commit intentional, wrongful acts, described above, that were and are designed to disrupt the relationships between DHI and the recipients of the Mailing, and Defendants knew that their actions were substantially certain to cause interference with those relationships
- 29 DHI is informed and believes that Defendants' actions caused actual disruption of said relationships, resulting in economic harm to DHI proximately caused by Defendants' acts

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES (against all Defendants)

- 30 Plaintiff realleges and fully incorporates by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 29 of this Complaint
- 31. The Mailing had the tendency and likelihood to deceive, and upon information and belief, did in fact deceive the public as to the nature of Defendants' services, and the activities of DHI
- 32 By reason of Defendants' false, fraudulent, deceptive, misleading, unfair Mailing, and other wrongful conduct as herein alleged, Defendants, each and all of them, have violated California Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seg., by consummating an unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practice, designed to deprive DHI of its reputation and its ability to do business
- As a result, DHI has suffered and continues to suffer damages in a sum which is 33. as yet unascertained

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth

///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR CIVIL CONSPIRACY (against all Defendants)

- 34 Plaintiff realleges and fully incorporates by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Complaint.
- 35. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at an unknown time between January and August 2009, all Defendants, and each of them, devised a scheme to send misleading and defamatory mailings, described above, en masse, to owners of real property in the State of California who had taken mortgages from DHI and other lenders whom they decided to target
- 36. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, each Defendant and all of them had knowledge of said scheme
- 37. Plaintiff is informed and believes that, at all relevant times, all Defendants, and each of them, had the intent to interfere with the contracts and business relationships between the recipients and DHI
- 38 Plaintiff is informed and believes that each Defendant, and all of them, knowingly and willfully conspired and agreed among themselves to mislead and defraud the recipients of the Mailing, and to defame DHI
- Plaintiff is informed and believes that each action by each of the Defendants that is described in this Complaint was undertaken in furtherance of the conspiracy and pursuant to it

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows

For the First, Second, Third, Fourth and Fifth Causes of Action:

- 1. For general damages according to proof;
- 2. For special damages according to proof;
- 3. For punitive damages;
- 4 For costs of suit incurred,
- 5 For an order requiring Defendants, and each of them, to show cause why they should not be enjoined as set forth below, during the pendency of this action,
- 6 For a preliminary injunction and a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants

1	from making the false and defamatory statements described herein about Plaintiff, and					
2	from distributing misleading advertising material regarding Plaintiff, and					
3	7 For any further relief the court may deem proper					
4	·					
5						
6	Dated October <u>\$\frac{\fir}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\fr</u>					
7	a law corporation					
8						
9	By. Sger Shiry					
10	Joseph) S. Genshlea Attobrey for Plaintiff,					
11	DHI Mortgage Company, Ltd , L.P					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

EXHIBIT A

a. ID Number		Lender Investigation Notification		Code 008-1	
b. Investigator Contact Phone Nur 888-55-AUDIT	nber	Property County:	`		
c Investigator US Loan Au		Property Type: Residential			
2882 Prospect Park Drive, Suite 350 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670		Notice Type: Subject* 008-1 IN		NVESTIGATION NOTICE	
d. Addressee's Personal ID Numbe		e. Property Address	f. Property City, State and Zip		
g. Name, Address, and 21P Code		RE: PREDATO	DRY LENDING IN	VESTIGATION	
State CA	Lender Investigation	Lender: Ohi Mtg	Total Loan A	mount:	

Form 008-I Lender Investigation Notification

2009

NOTICE REGARDING PREDATORY LENDING YOUR IMMEDIATE PARTICIPATION IS REQUIRED

PLEASE READ ENTIRE DOCUMENT CAREFULLY

Your lender Dhi Mtg is currently under investigation for predatory lending. Based on our information you may be a victim, and may qualify to participate in a law suit against them. As part of a law suit a homeowner can:

Stop foreclosure proceedings
Lower your monthly mortgage payments
Lower the balance owed on your loan
Repair their credit score
Receive financial compensation

Previous attempts to contact you have been made and this will be the final notice that you receive. Due to statute of limitations laws, time to participate is very limited. It is extremely important that you contact us within the next 24 hours.

To qualify for participation you must call 888-55-AUDIT WITHIN 24 HOURS

If there is no answer, be sure to leave a message because time is limited

FAILURE TO RESPOND TO THIS NOTICE MAY AFFECT YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS

We are not attempting to collect a debt, nor are we a debt collector. US Loan Auditors is independent of the lien holder as well as the trustee US Loan Auditors provides documentation investigation services for the legal profession. This product or service has not been approved or endorsed by any government agency and this offer is not being made by an agency of government.